











































that is, the reform will not be able to end corruption, nor nepotism, neither with meritocracy, nor with the processes of appropriation of theses. However, it is thought that the results will be even more limited because the construction of the diagnosis was not exhaustive enough and did not attract a more multidisciplinary analysis of the existing problems. They would mean having thought, to mention an example, in other ways about the system of precedents, the limitations that it may have in a society as complex as Mexico's, and the very way in which law is taught in Mexico. In a few words, the reform seems to be out of the debates and contemporary realities both in the country and in global dynamics, as well as a complete denial of the historical processes that have dragged the administration of justice in Mexico, so that it has the face that have. Here only possible lines of reflection have been opened that intend to put on the table some of the problems that are observed around the reform of March 2021, which have not been intended to be exhaustive, quite the contrary, under the principle that it does more discussion is needed, it is necessary to obtain more complex tools at a methodological and theoretical level to face the dynamics of a change that transforms not only the Judiciary but, in principle, many dynamics of social organization in the country.

*Received:* July 1st, 2021.  
*Accepted:* September 9th, 2021.